

established, and it was not obvious to [physicists](#) how the collisions between molecules could be perfectly elastic.^{[2]:36–37}

Other pioneers of the kinetic theory, whose work was also largely neglected by their contemporaries, were [Mikhail Lomonosov](#) (1747),^[3] [Georges-Louis Le Sage](#) (ca. 1780, published 1818),^[4] [John Herapath](#) (1816)^[5] and [John James Waterston](#) (1843),^[6] which connected their research with the development of [mechanical explanations of gravitation](#).

In 1856 [August Krönig](#) created a simple gas-kinetic model, which only considered the [translational motion](#) of the particles.^[7] In 1857 [Rudolf Clausius](#) developed a similar, but more sophisticated version of the theory, which included translational and, contrary to Krönig, also [rotational](#) and vibrational molecular motions. In this same work he introduced the concept of [mean free path](#) of a particle.^[8] In 1859, after reading a paper about the [diffusion](#) of molecules by Clausius, Scottish physicist [James Clerk Maxwell](#) formulated the [Maxwell distribution](#) of molecular velocities, which gave the proportion of molecules having a certain velocity in a specific range.^[9] This was the first-ever statistical law in physics.^[10] Maxwell also gave the first mechanical argument that molecular collisions entail an equalization of temperatures and hence a tendency towards equilibrium.^[11] In his 1873 thirteen page article 'Molecules', Maxwell states: "we are told that an 'atom' is a material point, invested and surrounded by 'potential forces' and that when 'flying molecules' strike against a solid body in constant succession it causes what is called [pressure](#) of air and other gases."^[12] In 1871, [Ludwig Boltzmann](#) generalized Maxwell's achievement and formulated the [Maxwell–Boltzmann distribution](#). The [logarithmic](#) connection between [entropy](#) and [probability](#) was also first stated by Boltzmann.

At the beginning of the 20th century, atoms were considered by many physicists to be purely hypothetical constructs, rather than real objects. An important turning point was [Albert Einstein's](#) (1905)^[13] and [Marian Smoluchowski's](#) (1906)^[14] papers on [Brownian motion](#), which succeeded in making certain accurate quantitative predictions based on the kinetic theory.

Following the development of the [Boltzmann equation](#), a framework for its use in developing transport equations was developed independently by [David Enskog](#) and [Sydney Chapman](#) in 1917 and 1916. The framework provided a route to prediction of the transport properties of dilute gases, and became known as [Chapman–Enskog theory](#). The framework was gradually expanded throughout the following century, eventually becoming a route to prediction of transport properties in real, dense gases.

Assumptions

The application of kinetic theory to ideal gases makes the following assumptions:

- The gas consists of very small particles. This smallness of their size is such that the sum of the [volume](#) of the individual gas molecules is negligible compared to the volume of the container of the gas. This is equivalent to stating that the average distance separating the gas particles is large compared to their [size](#), and that the elapsed time during a collision between particles and the container's wall is negligible when compared to the time between successive collisions.
- The number of particles is so large that a statistical treatment of the problem is well justified. This assumption is sometimes referred to as the [thermodynamic limit](#).
- The rapidly moving particles constantly collide among themselves and with the walls of the container, and all these collisions are perfectly elastic.

- Interactions (i.e. collisions) between particles are strictly binary and [uncorrelated](#), meaning that there are no three-body (or higher) interactions, and the particles have no memory.
- Except during collisions, the interactions among molecules are negligible. They exert no other [forces](#) on one another.

Thus, the dynamics of particle motion can be treated classically, and the equations of motion are time-reversible.

As a simplifying assumption, the particles are usually assumed to have the same [mass](#) as one another; however, the theory can be generalized to a mass distribution, with each mass type contributing to the gas properties independently of one another in agreement with [Dalton's Law of partial pressures](#). Many of the model's predictions are the same whether or not collisions between particles are included, so they are often neglected as a simplifying assumption in derivations (see below).^[15]

More modern developments, such as [Revised Enskog Theory](#) and the Extended [BGK](#) model,^[16] relax one or more of the above assumptions. These can accurately describe the properties of dense gases, and gases with [internal degrees of freedom](#), because they include the volume of the particles as well as contributions from intermolecular and intramolecular forces as well as quantized molecular rotations, quantum rotational-vibrational symmetry effects, and electronic excitation.^[17] While theories relaxing the assumptions that the gas particles occupy negligible volume and that collisions are strictly elastic have been successful, it has been shown that relaxing the requirement of interactions being binary and uncorrelated will eventually lead to divergent results.^[18]

Equilibrium properties

Pressure and kinetic energy

In the kinetic theory of gases, the [pressure](#) is assumed to be equal to the force (per unit area) exerted by the individual gas atoms or molecules hitting and rebounding from the gas container's surface.

Consider a gas particle traveling at velocity, v , along the x -direction in an enclosed

volume with [characteristic length](#), L , cross-sectional area, A , and volume, V . The gas particle encounters a boundary after characteristic time

The [momentum](#) of the gas particle can then be described as

We combine the above with [Newton's second law](#), which states that the force experienced by a particle is related to the time rate of change of its momentum, such that

Now consider a large number, N , of gas particles with random orientation in a three-dimensional volume. Because the orientation is random, the average particle speed, \bar{v} , in every direction is identical

Further, assume that the volume is symmetrical about its three dimensions, $V = \frac{4}{3}\pi r^3$, such that

The total surface area on which the gas particles act is therefore

The pressure exerted by the collisions of the N gas particles with the surface can then be found by adding the force contribution of every particle and dividing by the interior surface area of the volume,

The total translational [kinetic energy](#) of the gas is defined as $E_{\text{kin}} = \frac{1}{2} N m \bar{v}^2$ providing the result

This is an important, non-trivial result of the kinetic theory because it relates pressure, a [macroscopic](#) property, to the translational kinetic energy of the molecules, which is a [microscopic](#) property.

Temperature and kinetic energy

Rewriting the above result for the pressure as $p = \frac{2}{3} \frac{E_{\text{kin}}}{V}$, we may combine it with the [ideal gas law](#)

(1)

where k_B is the [Boltzmann constant](#) and T the [absolute temperature](#) defined by the ideal gas law, to obtain

which leads to a simplified expression of the average translational kinetic energy per molecule,^[19] $\bar{E}_{\text{kin}} = \frac{3}{2} k_B T$. The translational kinetic energy of the system is $E_{\text{kin}} = N \bar{E}_{\text{kin}}$ times that of a molecule,

namely $E_{\text{kin}} = \frac{3}{2} N k_B T$. The temperature, T , is related to the translational kinetic energy by the description above, resulting in

(2)

which becomes

(3)

Equation (3) is one important result of the kinetic theory: *The average molecular kinetic energy is proportional to the ideal gas law's absolute temperature.* From equations (1) and (3), we have

(4)

Thus, the product of pressure and volume per [mole](#) is proportional to the average translational molecular kinetic energy.

Equations (1) and (4) are called the "classical results", which could also be derived from [statistical mechanics](#); for more details, see:^[20]

The [equipartition theorem](#) requires that kinetic energy is partitioned equally between all kinetic [degrees of freedom](#), D . A monatomic gas is axially symmetric about each spatial axis, so that $D = 3$ comprising translational motion along each axis. A diatomic gas is axially symmetric about only one axis, so that $D = 5$, comprising translational motion along three axes and rotational motion along two axes. A polyatomic gas, like [water](#), is not radially symmetric about any axis, resulting in $D = 6$, comprising 3 translational and 3 rotational degrees of freedom.

Because the [equipartition theorem](#) requires that kinetic energy is partitioned equally, the total kinetic energy is

Thus, the energy added to the system per gas particle kinetic degree of freedom is

Therefore, the kinetic energy per kelvin of one mole of monatomic [ideal gas](#) ($D = 3$) is

where N_A is the [Avogadro constant](#), and R is the [ideal gas constant](#).

Thus, the kinetic energy per unit kelvin of an ideal monatomic gas can be calculated easily:

- per mole: 12.47 J / K
- per molecule: 20.7 [yJ](#) / K = 129 μeV / K

At [standard temperature](#) (273.15 K), the kinetic energy can also be obtained:

- per mole: 3406 J
- per molecule: 5.65 [zJ](#) = 35.2 meV.

At higher temperatures (typically thousands of kelvins), vibrational modes become active to provide additional degrees of freedom, creating a temperature-dependence on D and the total molecular energy. Quantum [statistical mechanics](#) is needed to accurately compute these contributions.^[21]

Collisions with container wall

For an ideal gas in equilibrium, the rate of collisions with the container wall and velocity distribution of particles hitting the container wall can be calculated^[22] based on naive kinetic theory, and the results can be used for analyzing [effusive flow rate](#)^[broken anchor]s, which is useful in applications such as the [gaseous diffusion](#) method for [isotope separation](#).

Assume that in the container, the number density (number per unit volume) is n and that the particles obey [Maxwell's velocity distribution](#):

Then for a small area dA on the container wall, a particle with speed v at angle θ from the normal of the area dA , will collide with the area within time interval dt , if it is within the distance $v dt \cos \theta$ from the area dA . Therefore, all the particles with speed v at angle θ from the normal that can reach area dA within time interval dt are contained in the tilted pipe with a height of $v dt \cos \theta$ and a volume of $dV = dA v dt \cos \theta$.

The total number of particles that reach area dA within time interval dt also depends on the velocity distribution; All in all, it calculates to be:

Integrating this over all appropriate velocities within the constraint $v \cos \theta > 0$ yields the number of atomic or molecular collisions with a wall of a container per unit area per unit time:

This quantity is also known as the "impingement rate" in vacuum physics. Note that to calculate the average speed $\langle v \rangle$ of the Maxwell's velocity distribution, one has to integrate over v .

The momentum transfer to the container wall from particles hitting the area dA with speed v at angle θ from the normal, in time interval dt is: $dF = n dV m v \cos \theta$. Integrating this over all appropriate velocities within the constraint $v \cos \theta > 0$ yields the [pressure](#) (consistent with [Ideal gas law](#)): $P = \frac{1}{3} n m \langle v^2 \rangle$. If this small area dA is punched to become a small hole, the [effusive flow rate](#) will be:

Combined with the [ideal gas law](#), this yields

The above expression is consistent with [Graham's law](#).

To calculate the velocity distribution of particles hitting this small area, we must take into account that all the particles with v_x that hit the area A within the time interval Δt are contained in the tilted pipe with a height of $v_x \Delta t$ and a volume of $A v_x \Delta t$; Therefore, compared to the Maxwell distribution, the velocity distribution will have an extra factor of v_x : $f(v_x) dv_x$ with the constraint $v_x > 0$. The constant C can be determined by the normalization condition $\int_0^\infty f(v_x) dv_x = 1$ to be $C = \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi k_B T}}$, and overall:

Speed of molecules

From the kinetic energy formula it can be shown that $\langle v^2 \rangle = \frac{3k_B T}{m}$ where v is in m/s, T is in kelvin, and m is the mass of one molecule of gas in kg. The most probable (or mode) speed v_p is 81.6% of the root-mean-square speed v_{rms} , and the mean (arithmetic mean, or average) speed v_{avg} is 92.1% of the rms speed ([isotropic distribution of speeds](#)).

See:

- [Average](#),
- [Root-mean-square speed](#)
- [Arithmetic mean](#)
- [Mean](#)
- [Mode \(statistics\)](#)

Mean free path

: [Mean free path](#)

In kinetic theory of gases, the [mean free path](#) is the average distance traveled by a molecule, or a number of molecules per volume, before they make their first collision. Let λ be the collision [cross section](#) of one molecule colliding with another. As in the previous section, the number density n is defined as the number of molecules per (extensive) volume, or $n = \frac{N}{V}$.

The collision cross section per volume or collision cross section density is $n\lambda$, and it is related to the mean free path λ by $\lambda = \frac{1}{n\lambda}$.

Notice that the unit of the collision cross section per volume $n\lambda$ is reciprocal of length.

Transport properties

: [Transport phenomena](#)

The kinetic theory of gases deals not only with gases in thermodynamic equilibrium, but also very importantly with gases not in thermodynamic equilibrium. This means using Kinetic Theory

to consider what are known as "transport properties", such as [viscosity](#), [thermal conductivity](#), [mass diffusivity](#) and [thermal diffusion](#).

In its most basic form, Kinetic gas theory is only applicable to dilute gases. The extension of Kinetic gas theory to dense gas mixtures, [Revised Enskog Theory](#), was developed in 1983-1987 by [E. G. D. Cohen](#), [J. M. Kincaid](#) and [M. López de Haro](#),^{[23][24][25][26]} building on work by [H. van Beijeren](#) and [M. H. Ernst](#).^[27]

Viscosity and kinetic momentum

: [Viscosity & Momentum transport](#)

In books on elementary kinetic theory^[28] one can find results for dilute gas modeling that are used in many fields. Derivation of the kinetic model for shear viscosity usually starts by considering a [Couette flow](#) where two parallel plates are separated by a gas layer. The upper plate is moving at a constant velocity to the right due to a force F . The lower plate is stationary, and an equal and opposite force must therefore be acting on it to keep it at rest. The molecules

in the gas layer have a forward velocity component which increase uniformly with

distance above the lower plate. The non-equilibrium flow is superimposed on a [Maxwell-Boltzmann equilibrium distribution](#) of molecular motions.

Inside a dilute gas in a [Couette flow](#) setup, let u be the forward velocity of the gas at a horizontal flat layer (labeled as z); u is along the horizontal direction. The number of molecules arriving at the area A on one side of the gas layer, with speed v at angle θ from the normal, in time interval Δt is

These molecules made their last collision at $z - \lambda$, where λ is the [mean free path](#). Each molecule will contribute a forward momentum of $mv \cos \theta$ where plus sign applies to molecules from above, and minus sign below. Note that the forward velocity gradient $\frac{du}{dz}$ can be considered to be constant over a distance of mean free path.

Integrating over all appropriate velocities within the constraint $v \cos \theta > 0$ yields the forward momentum transfer per unit time per unit area (also known as [shear stress](#)):

The net rate of momentum per unit area that is transported across the imaginary surface is

thus

Combining the above kinetic equation with [Newton's law of viscosity](#) gives the equation for shear viscosity, which is usually denoted η when it is a dilute gas:

Combining this equation with the equation for mean free path gives

Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution gives the average (equilibrium) molecular speed as

where v_p is the most probable speed. We note that

and insert the velocity in the viscosity equation above. This gives the well known

equation ^[29] (with λ subsequently estimated below) for [shear viscosity for dilute gases](#):

and M is the [molar mass](#). The equation above presupposes that the gas density is low (i.e. the pressure is low). This implies that the transport of momentum through the gas due to the translational motion of molecules is much larger than the transport due to momentum being transferred between molecules during collisions. The transfer of momentum between molecules is explicitly accounted for in [Revised Enskog theory](#), which relaxes the requirement of a gas being dilute. The viscosity equation further presupposes that there is only one type of gas molecules, and that the gas molecules are perfect elastic and hard core particles of spherical shape. This assumption of elastic, hard core spherical molecules, like billiard balls, implies that the collision cross section of one molecule can be estimated by

The radius r is called collision cross section radius or kinetic radius, and the diameter d is called collision cross section diameter or [kinetic diameter](#) of a molecule in a monomolecular gas. There are no simple general relation between the collision [cross section](#) and the hard core size of the (fairly spherical) molecule. The relation depends on shape of the potential energy of the molecule. For a real spherical molecule (i.e. a noble gas atom or a reasonably spherical molecule) the interaction potential is more like the [Lennard-Jones potential](#) or [Morse potential](#) which have a negative part that attracts the other molecule from distances longer than the hard core radius. [The radius for zero Lennard-Jones potential](#) may then be used as a rough estimate for the kinetic radius. However, using this estimate will typically lead to an erroneous temperature dependency of the viscosity. For such interaction potentials, significantly more accurate results are obtained by numerical evaluation of the required [collision integrals](#).

The expression for viscosity obtained from [Revised Enskog Theory](#) reduces to the above expression in the limit of infinite dilution, and can be written as

where Ω is a term that tends to zero in the limit of infinite dilution that accounts for excluded

volume, and λ is a term accounting for the transfer of momentum over a non-zero distance between particles during a collision.

Thermal conductivity and heat flux

: [Thermal conductivity](#)

Following a similar logic as above, one can derive the kinetic model for [thermal conductivity](#)^[28] of a dilute gas:

Consider two parallel plates separated by a gas layer. Both plates have uniform temperatures, and are so massive compared to the gas layer that they can be treated as [thermal reservoirs](#). The upper plate has a higher temperature than the lower plate. The molecules in the gas layer have a molecular kinetic energy which increases uniformly with distance above the lower plate. The non-equilibrium energy flow is superimposed on a [Maxwell-Boltzmann equilibrium distribution](#) of molecular motions.

Let be the molecular kinetic energy of the gas at an imaginary horizontal surface inside the gas layer. The number of molecules arriving at an area on one side of the gas layer, with speed at angle from the normal, in time interval is

These molecules made their last collision at a distance above and below the gas layer,

and each will contribute a molecular kinetic energy of where is the [specific heat capacity](#). Again, plus sign applies to molecules from above, and minus sign below. Note that the temperature gradient can be considered to be constant over a distance of mean free path.

Integrating over all appropriate velocities within the constraint

yields the energy transfer per unit time per unit area (also known as [heat flux](#)):

Note that the energy transfer from above is in the direction, and therefore the overall minus sign in the equation. The net heat flux across the imaginary surface is thus

Combining the above kinetic equation with [Fourier's law](#) gives the equation for thermal

conductivity, which is usually denoted when it is a dilute gas:

Similarly to viscosity, [Revised Enskog Theory](#) yields an expression for thermal conductivity that reduces to the above expression in the limit of infinite dilution, and which can be written as

where is a term that tends to unity in the limit of infinite dilution, accounting for excluded volume, and is a term accounting for the transfer of energy across a non-zero distance between particles during a collision.

Diffusion Coefficient and diffusion flux

: [Fick's laws of diffusion](#)

Following a similar logic as above, one can derive the kinetic model for [mass diffusivity](#)^[28] of a dilute gas:

Consider a [steady](#) diffusion between two regions of the same gas with perfectly flat and parallel boundaries separated by a layer of the same gas. Both regions have uniform [number densities](#), but the upper region has a higher number density than the lower region. In the steady state, the number density at any point is constant (that is, independent of time). However, the number

density in the layer increases uniformly with distance above the lower plate. The non-equilibrium molecular flow is superimposed on a [Maxwell-Boltzmann equilibrium distribution](#) of molecular motions.

Let be the number density of the gas at an imaginary horizontal surface inside the layer.

The number of molecules arriving at an area on one side of the gas layer, with speed at angle from the normal, in time interval is

These molecules made their last collision at a distance above and below the gas layer, where the local number density is

Again, plus sign applies to molecules from above, and minus sign below. Note that the number density gradient can be considered to be constant over a distance of mean free path.

Integrating over all appropriate velocities within the constraint

yields the molecular transfer per unit time per unit area (also known as [diffusion flux](#)):

Note that the molecular transfer from above is in the direction, and therefore the overall minus sign in the equation. The net diffusion flux across the imaginary surface is thus

Combining the above kinetic equation with [Fick's first law of diffusion](#) gives the equation for mass diffusivity, which is usually denoted when it is a dilute gas:

The corresponding expression obtained from [Revised Enskog Theory](#) may be written as

where is a factor that tends to unity in the limit of infinite dilution, which accounts for excluded volume and the variation [chemical potentials](#) with density.

Detailed balance

Fluctuation and dissipation

: [Fluctuation-dissipation theorem](#)

The kinetic theory of gases entails that due to the [microscopic reversibility](#) of the gas particles' detailed dynamics, the system must obey the principle of [detailed balance](#). Specifically, the [fluctuation-dissipation theorem](#) applies to the [Brownian motion](#) (or [diffusion](#)) and the [drag force](#), which leads to the [Einstein–Smoluchowski equation](#).^[30] where

- D is the [mass diffusivity](#);
- μ is the "mobility", or the ratio of the particle's [terminal drift velocity](#) to an applied [force](#), $\mu = v_d/F$;
- k_B is the [Boltzmann constant](#);
- T is the [absolute temperature](#).

Note that the mobility $\mu = v_d/F$ can be calculated based on the viscosity of the gas; Therefore, the Einstein–Smoluchowski equation also provides a relation between the mass diffusivity and the viscosity of the gas.

Onsager reciprocal relations

: [Onsager reciprocal relations](#)

The mathematical similarities between the expressions for shear viscosity, thermal conductivity and diffusion coefficient of the ideal (dilute) gas is not a coincidence; It is a direct result of the [Onsager reciprocal relations](#) (i.e. the detailed balance of the [reversible dynamics](#) of the particles), when applied to the [convection](#) (matter flow due to temperature gradient, and heat flow due to pressure gradient) and [advection](#) (matter flow due to the velocity of particles, and momentum transfer due to pressure gradient) of the ideal (dilute) gas.